

Control-Oriented Modeling for Wind Farms

Peter Seiler Aerospace Engineering & Mechanics University of Minnesota

Students: Jen Annoni, Kevin Howard, Shu Wang Collaborators: Michele Guala, Fotis Sotiropoulos, Xiaolei Yang









Clipper Liberty, 2012: Modern utility-scale turbine.

- •Rosemount, MN.
- •Diameter: 96m
- •Power: 2.5MW
- •Eolos Consortium:

http://www.eolos.umn.edu/

•Saint Anthony Falls Lab: http://www.safl.umn.edu/





1. Structural Design

- Larger turbines
- New materials for tower
 / blades
- Aeroacoustics
- Passive Films
- Transportation

Refs: Cotrell, Stehly, Tangler, Moriarty

Image: "Turbine Blade Convoy Passing through Edenfield" by Paul Anderson (From geograph.org.uk.)







- 4. Installation & Maintenance Costs
- Health Monitoring & Prognostics
- Fault Detection & Isolation
- Fault Tolerant Control

Refs: FDI/FTC Competitions, Ozdemir, Lim, Seiler, Rezaei, Johnson, Odgaard

Image: Damaged gear teeth, by Dan Janisch (Mesabi Range Wind Technology Program).



5. Grid Integration

- Active Power Control
- Emulated Inertia
- Ancillary Services

Refs: Aho, Pao, Johnson, Fleming, Wright, Wang, Buckspan, Jeong

Image: "Hamilton Beach Pylon" by Ibagli (From Wikipedia "Overhead Power Line")





- 6. Turbine/Turbine Interactions
- Maximize power
- Reduce structural loads
- High Fidelity Simulations

Refs: Johnson, Fleming, Gebraad, Seiler, Annoni, Howard, Guala, Yang, Sotiropoulos



Near Wake vs. Far Wake



Outline

- **Goal:** Construct control-oriented models for wind farms
 - Models need to be low-order but of sufficient fidelity.
 - Use models to design coordinated wind farm controllers

- Individual turbine control
- Coordinated wind farm control
- Wind farm modeling
 - Experimental (black-box) models
 - First-principles, reduced order models
- Conclusions

Individual Turbine Control

Modern Utility-Scale Wind Turbines



Objectives for Individual Turbine Control:

- 1. Maximize power at low wind speeds.
- 2. Reduce loads at high wind speeds.

Ref: Johnson, Pao, Balas, Fingersh, IEEE CSM, 2006

Actuator Line Turbine Model



Actuator Disk Turbine Model



Actuator Disk Turbine Model



Park Model (Jensen, 1983)



Coordinated Wind Farm Control

Coordinated Control: Two Turbines



Park and Betz model used

Ref: Johnson & Thomas (2009 ACC)

• k=0.1

• x=4D

Coordinated Control: Two Turbines



Ref: Johnson & Thomas (2009 ACC)

Coordinated Control: Two Turbines



- k=0.1
- x=4D

Ref: Johnson & Thomas (2009 ACC)

Need for Improved Wake Modeling

- Issue: High fidelity simulations show no increased power.
 - ~-10% compared to the +3.5% gain with the Park model
 - Ref: Annoni, Gebraad, Scholbrock, Fleming, van Wingerden, "Analysis of axial-induction-based wind plant control using engineering and high-order wind plant models." Submitted 2014



Simulator for Wind Farm Applications (SOWFA) Churchfield and Lee http://wind.nrel.gov/designcodes/simulators/SOWFA

Need for Improved Wake Modeling

• **Summary:** Park model neglects important spatiotemporal dynamics that are relevant for control.



Wind Farm Modeling

- 1. Experimental (black-box) models
- 2. First-principles, reduced order models

Differences in Modeling Approaches

- Experimental
 - Data driven
 - Site specific
 - Apply to : Existing wind farms



- First-principles
 - General approach
 - Gain insight for farms that are not yet built
 - Apply to: Design of new farms



Wind Farm Modeling

1. Experimental (black-box) models

Ref: "An experimental investigation on the effect of individual turbine control on wind farm dynamics", by Annoni, Howard, Seiler, and Guala, In preparation.

2. First-principles, reduced order models

Model Turbines



- Scale \rightarrow 1:750
- 4.5 m/s
- 10% turbulence intensity





SAFL Wind Tunnel





Photo credits: Kevin Howard

Voltage Measurements

Understand the input/output dynamics



Square waves with varying frequencies: 0.02Hz to 10Hz

Typical Result



Dynamic Response



Dynamic Park Model



Dynamic Park Model



Wind Farm Modeling

1. Experimental (black-box) models

2. First-principles, reduced order models Ref: "A low-order model for wind farm control," by Annoni and Seiler, Submitted to the 2015 ACC.

Flow Snapshot



Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (POD)

- Technique to compress data in flow v(x,y,t)
 - Holmes et. al, "Turbulence, Coherent Structures, Dynamical Systems and Symmetry." 1996
 - K. Willcox and J. Peraire, "Balanced model reduction via the proper orthogonal decomposition," 2002



Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (POD)

 Construct most energetic modes in flow {v_k}





Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (POD)

20

- Construct most energetic modes in flow {v_k}
- Approximate flow by projection onto energetic modes

 $\mathbf{v} \approx \Sigma_k \mathbf{c}_k \mathbf{v}_k$





20

0 0

Q 10

Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (POD)

- Construct most energetic modes in flow {v_k}
- Approximate flow by projection onto energetic modes

 $v \approx \Sigma_k c_k v_k$

Snapshot

3

4

2

X(D)

5

 Obtain low-order ODE model of PDE by Galerkin projection



Balanced Truncation

- Model reduction technique for state-space systems
 - Controllability Gramian gives input energy to reach a state.
 - Observability Gramian gives output energy from a state.
 - Balancing state transformation to yield equal observability/controllability properties.
 - Truncate less observable/controllable states.



$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$$
$$y = Cx + Du$$

Refs: Moore, Pernebo & Silverman, Enns

Balanced Truncation

- Model reduction technique for state-space systems
- **Issue:** Gramians obtained via a Lyapunov equation.
 - Computational cost is $O(n^3)$ where *n* is the state dim.



$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$$
$$y = Cx + Du$$

Refs: Moore, Pernebo & Silverman, Enns

Balanced POD

- Combination of POD and balanced truncation
 - Scalable numerical implementation
- Goal: Obtain model for wind farm feedback control



Rowley et. al. "Model Reduction for fluids, using Balanced Proper Orthogonal Decomposition" 2004 Willcox et. al., "Balanced model reduction via the proper orthogonal decomposition," 2002. Lall et. al., "A subspace approach to balanced truncation for model reduction of nonlinear control systems," 2002.

Balanced POD

- Example: Actuator Disk
 - Actuator Disk: 80,000 states
 - Represented with 5 modes
- Fewer BPOD modes needed to obtain low-order model



Reduced Order Models



Conclusions

- **Goal:** Construct control-oriented models for wind farms
 - Models need to be low-order but of sufficient fidelity.
 - Use models to design coordinated wind farm controllers

• Approaches:

- Experimental (black-box) models
- First-principles, reduced order models via BPOD

• Next Steps:

- Extend BPOD method from actuator disk to higher fidelity models
- Use models for simple control designs
- Test controllers in simulation and wind tunnel.

Acknowledgments

- Eolos Consortium and Saint Anthony Falls Laboratory
 - <u>http://www.eolos.umn.edu/</u>
 - http://www.safl.umn.edu/
- Institute for Renewable Energy and the Environment
 - Grant No. RL-0010-12: "Design Tools for Multivariable Control of Large Wind Turbines."
 - Grant No. RL-0011-13: "Innovating for Sustainable Electricity Systems: Integrating Variable Renewable, Regional Grids, and Distributed Resources."

US Department of Energy

- Grant No. DE-EE0002980: "An Industry/Academe Consortium for Achieving 20% wind by 2030 through Cutting-Edge Research and Workforce Training."
- US National Science Foundation
 - Grant No. NSF-CMMI-1254129: "CAREER: Probabilistic Tools for High Reliability Monitoring and Control of Wind Farms."