pubs.acs.org/NanoLett

Self-Assembled Periodic Nanostructures Using Martensitic Phase Transformations

Abhinav Prakash,^{*,O} Tianqi Wang,^O Ashley Bucsek,^O Tristan K. Truttmann, Alireza Fali, Michele Cotrufo, Hwanhui Yun, Jong-Woo Kim, Philip J. Ryan, K. Andre Mkhoyan, Andrea Alù, Yohannes Abate, Richard D. James, and Bharat Jalan^{*}



design and synthesis of self-assembled periodic nonstructures using martensitic phase transformations. We demonstrate this approach in a thin film of perovskite $SrSnO_3$ with reconfigurable periodic nanostructures consisting of regularly spaced regions of sharply contrasted dielectric properties. The films can be designed to have different periodicities and relative phase fractions via chemical doping or strain engineering. The dielectric contrast within a single film can be tuned using temperature and laser wavelength, effectively creating a variable photonic crystal. Our results show the realistic possibility of designing large-area selfassembled periodic structures using martensitic phase transformations with the potential of implementing "built-to-order" nanostructures for tailored optoelectronic functionalities.



KEYWORDS: Phase transformation, self-assembly, metamaterials, molecular beam epitaxy, semiconductor, nanostructure

Periodic nanostructures have enabled multiple applications pertaining to their tailored mechanical, electrical, magnetic, and optical properties. For instance, periodic nanostructures with refractive index contrast at optical wavelengths have opened unique opportunities in optoelectronics, nanophotonics, and quantum optics, culminating with the surge of interest in metamaterial technology.¹⁻³ Fabrication of such structures, however, typically requires multistep lithography processes with appreciable complexity, time requirements, and cost. We show a way to create periodic nanostructures with large optical contrast in a self-assembled process over large areas by exploiting martensitic phase transitions in phase change materials. Martensitic phase transformations are diffusionless transformations between a high-symmetry and a low-symmetry crystallographic phase. Each phase can have distinct mechanical, electrical, magnetic, and/or optical properties, which is why this ideally reversible transformation gives way to the functional abilities of many ferroelastic, ferroelectric, and ferromagnetic materials.⁴⁻⁶ When there is a thermal hysteresis, the two phases can stably coexist over a defined temperature range and form a microstructure, and within this microstructure, the two phases can meet only at specific interfaces (like puzzle pieces that can only fit in a specific way). This finite set of interfaces can be calculated using the rules of kinematic compatibility between the phases' point group symmetries and lattice parameters.^{7,8} The less

disparate the degrees of symmetry between the two phases are, the fewer possible interfaces exist.^{9,10} For this reason, martensitic phase transformations can be used to engineer microstructures and nanostructures that consist of phases segregated into specific, predictable large-area patterns where each phase may have distinct properties.

The crystallographic theory of martensite (CTM) is a framework that can be used to predict all of the kinematically compatible interfaces between two phases that are related through a martensitic phase transformation.^{7,8} Commonly, the two phases are not initially compatible with each other, and the low-symmetry phase must form a fine periodic substructure (i.e., twins) to improve the compatibility with the high-symmetry phase.¹¹ However, in thin films, the out-of-plane direction can be considered free, reducing the constraints to two dimensions and relaxing the requirements of kinematic compatibility.^{9,12} For this reason, it is much easier for two phases to form kinematically compatible phase interfaces

Received:September 14, 2020Revised:November 18, 2020Published:December 2, 2020



Letter

ACS Publications



Figure 1. (a) Schematic of a self-assembled periodic nanostructure in a thin epitaxial film composed of a tetragonal phase (red) and an orthorhombic phase (yellow) related through a tetragonal-to-orthorhombic martensitic phase transformation. The schematic shows only two possible tetragonal-orthorhombic interfaces that are perpendicular to the substrate and are related by an angle ω . (b) A color map showing the angle between the two possible tetragonal-orthorhombic interfaces, ω , as a function of the local strain relief the tetragonal-to-orthorhombic martensitic phase transformation would produce in the two in-plane directions.

(without the need for twins) in a thin film. For example, when a tetragonal-to-orthorhombic martensitic phase transformation occurs in a thin film, there are two compatible interfaces between the phases where both interfaces are perpendicular to the substrate. This case is shown schematically in Figure 1(a). These two interfaces can be predicted from CTM using the two phases' point groups and the ratios of the tetragonal lattice parameters to the orthorhombic lattice parameters, α , β , and γ .¹¹ Because there are only two possible interfaces in this case, it is useful to define the angle between them as ω (identified in Figure 1(a)), which again depends on α , β , and γ . See the Supporting Information for details.

Because of the difference between the tetragonal and orthorhombic lattice parameters, a partial phase transformation can provide strain relief to a thin epitaxial film. This idea is akin to the well-known Clausius-Clapeyron relationship and strain engineering techniques commonly used in epitaxial films.¹³ Furthermore, because the epitaxial strain is uniformly distributed across the film, this partial phase transformation occurs across the entire film, forming a structural periodicity as shown in Figure 1(a). The fineness of the phase domains results from a balance between the interfacial energy increase and elastic strain energy decrease associated with each interface.^{11,12} Figure $1(\breve{b})$ shows ω as a function of local strain relief produced by the tetragonal-to-orthorhombic transformation in the two in-plane directions, ε_{11} and ε_{22} . As the sample is heated, the epitaxial strain is expected to vary slightly due to thermal expansion. As a result, the effective tetragonal and orthorhombic lattice parameters slightly vary, causing α , β , and γ to vary, and thus causing ω to change.

Guided by the theory of tetragonal-to-orthorhombic martensitic phase transformations, thin films of La-doped SrSnO₃ (LSSO) with an undoped SrSnO₃ (SSO) buffer layer were grown on a GdScO₃ (GSO) (110) substrate using hybrid molecular beam epitaxy.^{14,15} Figure 2 shows that the coexistence of the tetragonal and orthorhombic phases occurs more prevalently above a critical thickness. Figure 2(a-c) shows wide-angle X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns for three films grown with different thicknesses. For all three patterns, the XRD peak at $2\theta \approx 44^{\circ}$ corresponds to the pseudocubic (002) peak of the SSO tetragonal phase.¹⁴ The thinnest sample (Figure 2(a)) appears to be predominantly tetragonal, while

the two thicker samples (Figure 2(b, c)) show a second XRD peak at a slightly higher 2θ value, corresponding to the SSO orthorhombic phase.¹⁴ Figures 2(d-f) show nanoscale scattering type scanning near-field optical (s-SNOM) second harmonic amplitude micrographs of the films from Figures 2 (a-c), respectively, where the relatively dark (red/orange) and bright (yellow) contrast indicates starkly different regions of dissimilar dielectric properties. Based on the X-ray diffraction scans, it can be deduced that the darker regions correspond to the tetragonal phase and the brighter regions correspond to the orthorhombic phase. Additionally, nanoscale periodicity was confirmed by the temperature-dependent rocking curve showing a periodic modulation in the intensity across the center film peak, suggesting periodic microstructure consistent with the results of SNOM (Figure S1). Figure 2(g) shows atomic-resolution annular dark-filled (ADF) scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) image of one of the phase interfaces in a 30 nm LSSO/108 nm SSO/GSO (110) sample. The phases were identified by the presence of characteristic spots in imaged fast Fourier transforms (FFTs) shown in the insets. The FFT of the orthorhombic phase showed additional diffraction peaks. The interface is marked with a white dotted line for clarity. The surface roughness of such thin films was further studied using atomic force microscopy (AFM), attesting to a surface with low rootmean-square roughness (Figures S2 and S3). Sharp interfaces and an atomically smooth surface suggest that photonic devices based on an architecture that involves a one-step fabrication such as molecular beam epitaxy can potentially have low attenuation or transmission loss. As predicted by the CTM for tetragonal-to-orthorhombic martensitic phase transformations in thin films, there are only two types of phase interfaces present (an approximately vertical interface and an approximately horizontal interface in the s-SNOM micrographs), resulting in a periodic or quasiperiodic nanostructure. Because the two phases have different optical properties as indicated by the s-SNOM amplitude images (see Figure S4 for comparison of s-SNOM contrasts and topographical changes), these periodic nanostructures are akin to 2D photonic crystals and are suitable for nanophotonic applications.³

As an example, Figure 3a shows a metagrating,¹⁶ obtained by alternating the two phases assuming a refractive index contrast



Figure 2. X-ray diffraction patterns of (a) 12 nm LSSO/2 nm SSO/GSO (110), (b) 28 nm LSSO/11 nm SSO/GSO (110), and (c) 35 nm LSSO/ 7 nm SSO/GSO (110) films showing purely tetragonal phase in (a) and a mixture of tetragonal and orthorhombic phases in (b) and (c). (d-f)The corresponding s-SNOM images (with false color) revealing the dielectric character of the microstructure in these films. (g) Cross-sectional HR-STEM (false color) of the interface between the tetragonal (T) and orthorhombic (O) phases in 30 nm LSSO/108 nm SSO/GSO (110), where the insets show selected area Fourier transforms. Scale of the insets is 3 nm⁻¹.

of 3:1 (similar to the one measured in our structure shown in Figure 4(f)), placed at a distance h from a mirror. By making the unit cell of the metagrating asymmetric and properly tailoring its geometry, we can obtain exotic optical responses, such as wavefront steering with large efficiency,¹⁶ whereby a normally incident beam is reflected into only one of the three available diffraction orders with almost unitary efficiency (Figure 3b). The periodicity of the metasurface determines a discrete number of diffraction orders, and the asymmetry of the unit cell enables suppressing the coupling to the unwanted orders (Figure 3a), so that all the reflected energy is steered toward the angle of choice (Figure 3b). In order to enable this functionality over an ultrathin metasurface, large area and strong optical contrast are required,¹⁷ typically achieved with slow and costly processes such as e-beam lithography. The

proposed self-assembly technique enables a fast, cheap, and large-area process to fabricate similar structures, which provides an interesting pathway for metasurface applications.

To study the origin and evolution of the tetragonal– orthorhombic microstructure, a set of films were synthesized as a function of SSO buffer layer thickness and La concentration (Figure 4). Figure 4(b) shows the evolution of the tetragonal and orthorhombic phases as a function of SSO buffer layer thickness holding La concentration constant. At very small SSO buffer layer thickness (~ 2 nm), the film is predominantly tetragonal. As the SSO buffer layer thickness increases, the relative volume of the orthorhombic phase increases, forming a tetragonal–orthorhombic phase mixture as shown in Figure 2(e, f). Eventually, the film becomes predominantly orthorhombic. Note that these changes occur without any shift in



Figure 3. Example of the nanophotonic application achievable by controlling the lateral widths of the two phases and their optical contrast. (a) A metagrating is designed such that a normally impinging beam is steered into only one of the three available diffraction orders, with an efficiency of >98%. The structure is uniform along the *y* direction. (b) Full-wave simulations of the metagrating response. The color plot shows the scattered field. Impinging wavelength $\lambda = 5 \ \mu$ m. Geometry: *a* = 8 \ \mumm, W₁ = 0.199*a*, W₂ = 0.235*a*, W₃ = 0.363*a*, *h* = 2.91 \ \mummum.



Figure 4. (a) Schematic of 30 nm LSSO/ t_{buffer} SSO/GSO (110) films with different SSO buffer layer thickness and fixed La concentration and (b) corresponding X-ray diffraction patterns. (c) Schematic of 40 nm LSSO/8 nm SSO/GSO (110) films with fixed layer thickness and different La (dopant) concentrations and (d) corresponding X-ray diffraction patterns. (e, f) s-SNOM images of two films grown with different La concentrations, at $T_{La} = 1150$ and 1200 °C, showing the tunability of the microstructure and resultant dielectric behaviors with chemical doping.

the film peak positions, meaning the out-of-plane lattice parameter for the tetragonal and orthorhombic phases remains constant for all films regardless of layer thickness. This is indicative of the coherent strain state of both the phases with the underlying substrate and was confirmed using reciprocal space maps (SI, Figure S5).

These measurements show that the partial tetragonal-toorthorhombic martensitic phase transformation is a source of strain relief. The theoretical critical thickness for strain relaxation via the formation of misfit dislocations for a SSO film grown on GSO is ~10 nm, calculated using the Matthew-Blakeslee equation.¹⁸ The much larger thicknesses that are observed here can be attributed to the coexistence of the two phases. That is, the release of the volumetric strain energy happens via the formation of the orthorhombic phase rather than forming misfit dislocations. The film grows initially in the tetragonal phase and, with increasing thickness, partially transforms to the orthorhombic phase via martensitic phase transformation. Eventually, at a thickness much larger than the theoretical critical thickness for dislocation formation, the film



Figure 5. s-SNOM of 35 nm LSSO/7 nm SSO/GSO (110) film ($T_{La} = 1150 \text{ °C}$) as a function of temperature, *T*, at fixed wavelength, $\lambda = 10.5 \,\mu\text{m}$ (a–c), and as a function of wavelength, λ , at fixed temperature, *T* = 25 °C (b–d).

becomes thick enough to form dislocations. The film with a $t_{\text{buffer}} = 216 \text{ nm}$ showed the signature of strain relaxation via the formation of misfit dislocations; the XRD peak shifted toward a higher 2θ value corresponding to a lower lattice parameter.

A similar evolution of the tetragonal-orthorhombic microstructure is seen when the La dopant concentration in the LSSO layer is reduced. Figure 4(d) shows the evolution of the tetragonal and orthorhombic phases as a function of La cell temperature holding the SSO buffer layer thickness constant at 8 nm, where an increasing La cell temperature corresponds to a higher La concentration. As the La concentration increases, the film goes from tetragonal-orthorhombic to predominantly tetragonal, suggesting the dopant as a tunable parameter for the microstructure. Figure 4(e, f) shows infrared s-SNOM second harmonic amplitude micrographs where the microstructure is shown in terms of dielectric contrast for the films grown with La cell temperatures of 1150 and 1200 °C, respectively. With increasing La content (i.e., higher La cell temperature), the density of the orthorhombic phase (bright yellow) decreases, consistent with XRD results in Figure 4(d)(also see Figure S6). These observations collectively show that the relative volume of the tetragonal and orthorhombic phases can be tuned using strain (controlled by either layer thickness or substrate selection) and defects (controlled by doping) resulting in different overall dielectric behaviors in such photonic crystals.

Besides the dielectric tunability of different films using strain and defects, the microstructure and resultant dielectric behaviors can be tuned within the same film using external stimuli such as temperature and the wavelength of an excitation laser. Figure 5 shows s-SNOM amplitude micrographs of the same film taken at different temperatures and wavelengths, where the microstructure is shown in terms of dielectric contrast. When the temperature is increased and the wavelength is fixed (Figure 4(a-c)), the angle between the two-phase interfaces (ω in the schematic shown in Figure 1(a)) becomes more acute while the relative volume of the two phases remains constant. The change in ω is consistent with our theoretical prediction as illustrated in Figure 1 and is attributed to the small change in the lattice parameters with temperature. On the other hand, when the wavelength is increased and the temperature is fixed (Figure 5(d-f)), the relative volume of the orthorhombic phase increases while the

angle between the two-phase interfaces remains the same. These results show that the photonic crystal microstructure can be tuned for a specific application using external stimuli.

We have shown that martensitic phase transformations can be used for the rational design and synthesis of self-assembled large-area periodic metamaterials. The microstructure of these materials follows strict rules of kinematic compatibility and, as a result, can be predicted and optimized using theory, such as CTM. When the two phases involved in a martensitic phase transformation have sharply contrasting mechanical, electrical, magnetic, and/or optical properties, this design approach can be used to create metamaterials with tunable, predictable mechanical, electrical, magnetic, and/or optical behaviors. We have demonstrated this approach on SSO, which undergoes a tetragonal-to-orthorhombic martensitic phase transformation. Due to the relatively small symmetry disparity between the tetragonal and orthorhombic phases, when these two phases coexist in a thin film, there are only two possible compatible phase interfaces. The result is a periodic checkerboard-like microstructure where the periodicity and relative phase fractions can be tuned using strain engineering or doping. In SSO, the tetragonal and orthorhombic phases also have contrasted optical properties at different wavelengths, making this metamaterial appropriate for 2D nanophotonic crystal applications. Furthermore, the optical contrast within a single film can be tuned using temperature and laser wavelength, creating a sort of variable photonic crystal. These results demonstrate the unique advantages of using martensitic phase transformations to engineer self-assembled nanostructures in thin epitaxial films.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.0c03708.

Materials and Methods and Figures S1–S6 (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

Abhinav Prakash – Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, University of Minnesota, Twin Cities, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-8899-0568; Email: praka019@umn.edu

Bharat Jalan – Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, University of Minnesota, Twin Cities, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, United States; Orcid.org/ 0000-0002-7940-0490; Email: bjalan@umn.edu

Authors

- **Tianqi Wang** Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, University of Minnesota, Twin Cities, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, United States
- Ashley Bucsek Department of Aerospace Engineering and Mechanics, University of Minnesota, Twin Cities, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, United States; Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, United States
- **Tristan K. Truttmann** Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, University of Minnesota, Twin Cities, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, United States
- Alireza Fali Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602, United States
- Michele Cotrufo Photonics Initiative, Advanced Science Research Center, City University of New York, New York, New York 10031, United States
- Hwanhui Yun Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, University of Minnesota, Twin Cities, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, United States
- Jong-Woo Kim Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, United States
- Philip J. Ryan Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, United States
- K. Andre Mkhoyan Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, University of Minnesota, Twin Cities, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, United States; orcid.org/ 0000-0003-3568-5452
- Andrea Alù Photonics Initiative, Advanced Science Research Center and Physics Program, Graduate Center, City University of New York, New York, New York 10031, United States
- Yohannes Abate Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602, United States; orcid.org/0000-0003-1313-1766
- Richard D. James Department of Aerospace Engineering and Mechanics, University of Minnesota, Twin Cities, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, United States

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.0c03708

Author Contributions

^OThese authors contributed equally. A.P., T.Q., and B.J. conceived the idea and designed the experiments. A.P., T.Q., and T.T. grew and characterized samples. A.B. and R.J. performed the CTM model calculations. A.F. and Y.A. carried out SNOM measurements. M.C. and A.A. performed simulations. H.Y. and A.M. performed TEM studies. J.-W.K. and P.J.R. performed synchrotron X-ray measurements and analyzed the data. A.P., A.B., and B.J. wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the discussion and manuscript preparation.

Funding

This work was primarily supported by the NSF DMR-1741801. Part of the work was supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFOSR) through Grant Nos. FA9550-19-1-0245 and FA9550-21-1-0025. This work was partially supported through the UMN MRSEC program under award no. DMR-2011401 and the AFOSR MURI through Grant No. FA9550-17-1-0002. The work also benefitted from the RDF Fund of the Institute on the Environment (UMN), the Norwegian Centennial Chair Program (NOCC), and two Vannevar Bush Faculty Fellowships. Work at the UMN involving thin film characterization using synchrotron X-rays was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy through DE-SC0020211. Parts of this work were carried out at the Minnesota Nano Center and Characterization Facility, University of Minnesota, which receives partial support from the NSF through the MRSEC program. Use of the Advanced Photon Source, an Office of Science User Facility operated for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Science, by Argonne National Laboratory, was supported by the U.S. DOE under contract no. DE-AC02-06CH11357. A.F. acknowledges support from the NSF (grant no. 1553251), and Y.A. acknowledges support from AFOSR (grant no. FA9559-16-1-0172).

Notes

Data and materials availability: All data needed to evaluate the conclusions of the paper are present in the paper and/or the Supporting Information. Additional data related to this paper may be requested from the authors.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

REFERENCES

(1) Wang, T.; Zhuang, J.; Lynch, J.; Chen, O.; Wang, Z.; Wang, X.; LaMontagne, D.; Wu, H.; Wang, Z.; Cao, Y. C. Self-Assembled Colloidal Superparticles from Nanorods. *Science* **2012**, *338*, 358.

(2) Bita, I.; Yang, J. K. W.; Jung, Y. S.; Ross, C. A.; Thomas, E. L.; Berggren, K. K. Graphoepitaxy of Self-Assembled Block Copolymers on Two-Dimensional Periodic Patterned Templates. *Science* **2008**, *321*, 939.

(3) Koenderink, A. F.; Alù, A.; Polman, A. Nanophotonics: Shrinking Light-Based Technology. *Science* **2015**, *348*, 516.

(4) Bhattacharya, K.; James, R. D. The material is the machine. *Science* **2005**, *307*, 53.

(5) Scott, J. F. Applications of Modern Ferroelectrics. *Science* 2007, 315, 954.

(6) Bhattacharya, K.; Conti, S.; Zanzotto, G.; Zimmer, J. Crystal symmetry and the reversibility of martensitic transformations. *Nature* **2004**, 428, 55.

(7) Wechsler, M. S.; Lieberman, D. S.; Read, T. A. On the theory of the formation of martensite. *Trans AIME* **1953**, *197*, 1503.

(8) Bowles, J. S.; Mackenzie, J. K. The crystallography of martensitic transformations I and II. *Acta Metall.* **1954**, *2*, 129.

(9) Bhattacharya, K. *Microstructure of martensite: why it forms and how it gives rise to the shape memory effect;* Oxford University Press: New York, 2003.

(10) James, R. D.; Hane, K. F. Martensitic transformations and shape-memory materials. *Acta Mater.* 2000, 48, 197.

(11) Ball, J. M.; James, R. D. Fine phase mixtures as minimizers of energy. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **1987**, 100, 13.

(12) Bhattacharya, K.; James, R. D. A theory of thin films of martensitic materials with applications to microactuators. *J. Mech. Phys. Solids* **1999**, *47*, 531.

(13) Choi, K. J.; Biegalski, M.; Li, Y. L.; Sharan, A.; Schubert, J.; Uecker, R.; Reiche, P.; Chen, Y. B.; Pan, X. Q.; Gopalan, V.; Chen, L.-Q.; Schlom, D. G.; Eom, C. B. Enhancement of Ferroelectricity in Strained $BaTiO_3$ Thin Films. *Science* **2004**, *306*, 1005.

(14) Wang, T.; Prakash, A.; Dong, Y.; Truttmann, T.; Bucsek, A.; James, R.; Fong, D. D.; Kim, J.-W.; Ryan, P. J.; Zhou, H.; Birol, T.; Jalan, B. Engineering SrSnO₃ Phases and Electron Mobility at Room Temperature Using Epitaxial Strain. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2018, 10, 43802.

(15) Wang, T.; Thoutam, L. R.; Prakash, A.; Nunn, W.; Haugstad, G.; Jalan, B. Defect-driven localization crossovers in MBE-grown Ladoped SrSnO₃ films. *Phys. Rev. Mater.* 2017, *1*, 061601.
(16) Ra'di, Y.; Sounas, D. L.; Alu, A. Meta-Gratings: Beyond the

(16) Ra'di, Y.; Sounas, D. L.; Alu, A. Meta-Gratings: Beyond the Limits of Graded Metasurfaces for Wavefront Control. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2017, *119*, 067404.
(17) Estakhri, N. M.; Neder, V.; Knight, M. W.; Polman, A.; Alù, A.

(17) Estakhri, N. M.; Neder, V.; Knight, M. W.; Polman, A.; Alù, A. Visible Light, Wide-Angle Graded Metasurface for Back Reflection. *ACS Photonics* **2017**, *4*, 228.

(18) Matthews, J.W. Defects in epitaxial multilayers I. Misfit dislocations. J. Cryst. Growth 1974, 27, 118.