Observations on the scaling of the streamwise velocity component in wall turbulence

Jonathan Morrison Beverley McKeon Dept. Aeronautics, Imperial College

Perry Symposium, Kingston May 2004

Synopsis

- Self-similarity what should we be looking for?
- Log law: self-similar scaling (y, u_{τ})
- Local-equilibrium approximation as a self-similar energy balance in physical space.
- Spatial transport interaction between inner and outer regions.
- Self-similarity of energy balance appears as inertial subrange in spectral space.
- Consistent physical- and spectral- space views.
- Self-similarity as a pre-requisite for universality.

What is self-similarity?
$$\frac{U}{u_{\tau}} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln \left(\frac{yu_{\tau}}{v} \right) + B, \quad \frac{U}{u_{\tau}} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln \left(\frac{y}{k} \right) + B^{**}$$

- *Simultaneous* overlap analysis for $v/u_{\tau} \ll y \ll R$, indicates motion independent of inner and outer lengthscales
- Therefore the constant in the log argument is merely a constant of integration and may be freely chosen.
- It is usually taken to be the dominant imposed lengthscale so that its influence on *B* or *B*** is removed: as

$$y^+ \to \infty, \ B \to 5.6 \quad \frac{y}{k} \to \infty, \ B^{**} \to 8.5$$

• Overlap analysis indicates κ is universal: but self-similarity is a pre-requisite.

The local-equilibrium approximation

- Application of self-similar (y, u_{τ}) scaling to the energy balance gives $P = \varepsilon$
- Therefore expect log-law and local-equilibrium regions to be coincident.
- Inertial subrange is self-similar spectral transfer, *T*(*k*), as demonstrated by *simultaneous* overlap with inner and outer scaling.
- Wavelet decomposition (DNS data, *JFM 491*) shows T(k) much more spatially intermittent than equivalent terms for either *P* or ε .
- Therefore T(k) is unlikely to scale simply.
- Even then, energy balance at any point in space is an integration over all k so $P = \varepsilon$ will only ever be an approximation.
- Usefulness of a "first-order" subrange (Bradshaw 1967)?

Self-similarity of the second moment

- Examine self-similarity using distinction between inner $(y^+ = yu_\tau/\nu >> 1)$ and outer $(R^+ = Ru_\tau/\nu)$ influences in wall region.
- Examine possibility of self-similarity in $\phi_{11}(k_1)$.
- If $\phi_{11}(k_1)$ not self-similar, then $\overline{u^2}^+$ is very unlikely to be either.
- Comparison of Townsend's 1956 ideas with those of 1976

 are outer-layer influences "inactive"?
- Use these ideas to highlight principal differences between scaling of $\phi_{11}(k_1)$ in pipes and boundary layers, and *even* between different flows at the same R^+ .

"Strong" asymptotic condition:
$$R^+ = \infty$$

- As $R^+ \to \infty$, and $y/R \to 0$, "large eddies are weak" (Townsend 1956).
- "Neglecting this possibility of outside influence": $\phi_{11}(k_1, y, u_{\tau}) = u_{\tau}^2 y \psi(k_1 y)$

where ψ is a "universal" function.

• Therefore, provided ϕ_{11} is independent of y, collapse on inner variables <u>alone</u> is sufficient to demonstrate self-similarity.

• Then:
$$\phi_{11} \propto u_{\tau}^2 k_1^{-1}$$

"Strong" asymptotic condition

• Neglecting streamwise gradient of Reynolds stress:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}(-\overline{uv}) = \overline{v\omega}_z - \overline{w\omega}_y + v\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial y^2}$$
$$\approx \left[\overline{\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{\omega}}\right]_x$$

- Write $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_o$ with $\boldsymbol{\omega}_o \approx 0$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}(-\overline{uv}) \approx \left[\overline{\mathbf{u}_i \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_i}\right]_x + \left[\overline{\mathbf{u}_o \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_i}\right]_x$
- Last term negligible (scale separation again) and removal of cross product linearizes the outer influence.
- "Inactive motion is a meandering or swirling made up from attached eddies of large size....." (Townsend 1961).

Conclusions from "strong" asymptotic condition

- Write $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_o$: $\overline{\mathbf{u}^2}^+ = \overline{\mathbf{u}_i^2}^+ + \overline{\mathbf{u}_0^2}^+ + 2\overline{\mathbf{u}_i^2\mathbf{u}_0}^+$ Blocking means that $v_0 \approx u_0 \frac{y}{r}$
- Therefore, $\overline{v^2}^+$ and \overline{uv}^+ are, to first order, $F(y^+)$ only.
- But: $\overline{u_i^2}^+ = F_i(y^+), \ \overline{u_o^2}^+ = F_o(R^+)$ and outer influence appears as a linear superposition.
- Therefore, at the same R^+ , internal and external flows are the same.

What's wrong with this picture? Superpipe



What's wrong with this picture ? Self-similar structure

- k_1^{-1} implies hierarchy of self-similar, non-interacting attached wall eddies that makes valid the assumption of linear superposition.
- Then:

$$\hat{R}_{vv} = \frac{\overline{v(y)v(y_1)}}{\overline{v^2}(y_1)} = \frac{y}{y_1}$$

• Even atmospheric surface layer show that this is not the case: the absence of direct viscous effects is an insufficient condition.

Hunt et al. (Adv. Turb 2, Springer 1989)

Linear superposition:



Fig.2 Schematic eddy shapes for analysing (a) shear-free boundary layers, and (b) shear boundary layers.

eddy below the boundary (which is present to satisfy the boundary condition (2.1c)). So at y, $v(y) \simeq v_S + (y/y_1)v_L$; and therefore (as the statistical theory confirms),

$$\overline{v(y)v(y_1)} \simeq (y/y_1)\overline{v^2}(y_1) \quad \text{or} \quad \hat{R}_{vv} = \frac{\overline{v(y)v(y_1)}}{\overline{v^2}(y_1)} \simeq y/y_1 \tag{2.4}$$

Hunt et al. (Adv. Turb 2, Springer 1989)



Fig.3

Cross correlation of v at heights y and y_1 normalised by $\overline{v^2}$ at y_1 . (a) Computed from direct numerical simulations of the zero pressure gradient boundary layer (Spalart 1987), and the plane channel (Moin & Moser 1987). Also shown are the theoretical predictions of Hunt (1984) ($\hat{R}_{vv} \approx y/y_1$). (b) From atmospheric measurements at Boulder Atmospheric Observatory.

131

What's wrong with this picture? Wall-pressure fluctuations

• Integration of spectrum $\phi_{pp}(k_1) = A \frac{\tau_w^2}{k_1}$

in the approximate range $(0.1R)^{-1} \le k_1 \le u_{\tau}/30\nu$ gives: $\frac{\overline{p_w^2}}{\tau_w^2} = B \ln R^+ + C$ where $B \approx 1.6$ and C > 0.

- Therefore, even consideration of the active motion alone shows that:
 - 1. wall-pressure fluctuations increase with R^+ ,

2. large scales penetrate to the wall: the near-wall region is not "sheltered".

A "weak" asymptotic condition: $R^+ \rightarrow \infty$

- "Superpipe" data show that outer influence:
 - 1. is not "inactive" and interacts with inner component
 - 2. increases with R^+
 - 3. increases with decreasing distance from the wall.
- Therefore, linear decomposition is not possible, i.e.

$$\overline{u^2}^+ = F(y^+; y/R) = G(y^+; R^+)$$

- Therefore to demonstrate complete similarity, we must have <u>simultaneous</u> collapse on inner and outer variables.
- "It now appears that simple similarity of the motion is not possible with attached eddies and, in particular, the stress-intensity ratio depends on position in the layer"

Superpipe spectra



Laban's Mills surface layer (Högström)



Observations

- Both the "strong" and "weak" asymptotic conditions lead to complete similarity.
- $\phi_{11}(k_1)$ spectra in both the "superpipe" and the atmospheric surface layer show only incomplete similarity. In both cases, R^+ is too low to show complete similarity.
- As the Reynolds number increases, the receding influence of direct viscous effects has to be distinguished from the increasing influence of outerlayer effects, because the inner/outer interaction is non-linear: $\overline{u^2}^+ = F(y^+; y/R)$

Nature of the inner-outer interaction

- Streamwise momentum: $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}(-\overline{uv}) = \overline{v\omega}_z \overline{w\omega}_y + v\nabla^2 U$
- The mesolayer defined by $\frac{\partial}{\partial v}(-\overline{uv}) = 0$
- Balance of viscous and inertial forces gives length scale

$$\delta_m^+ \propto \left(R^+ \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

- The energy balance in the mesolayer involves turbulent and viscous transport, as well as production and dissipation.
- Since $1 \ll R^{+\frac{1}{2}} \ll R^{+}$, a mesolayer exists at any R^{+} .
- The lower limit to the log law should be expected to increase approximately as $R^{\frac{1}{2}}$.



Nature of the inner-outer interaction

- The occurrence of a self-similar k_1^{-1} range cannot be expected in or below the mesolayer.
- The full decomposition $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}(-\overline{uv}) \approx \left[\overline{u_i \times \omega_i}\right]_x + \left[\overline{u_i \times \omega_o}\right]_x + \left[\overline{u_o \times \omega_i}\right]_x + \left[\overline{u_o \times \omega_o}\right]_x$ shows how ideas concerning widely separated wavenumbers can be misleading – inner/outer interaction is a more important consideration if looking for self-similarity, $R^+ \rightarrow \infty$
- Does inner/outer interaction preclude self-similarity of inertial-range statistics?
- Is this most likely in the local-equilibrium region?

A first-order inertial subrange

- Bradshaw (1967) suggested that a sufficient condition for a "first-order" subrange is that *T*(*k*) >> sources or sinks.
- This occurs in a wide range of flows for $R_{\lambda} > 100$
- No local isotropy: $R_{12}(k_1) > 0$, but decreasing rapidly as $k_1 \rightarrow \infty$
- Local-equilibrium region is a physical-space equivalent, where small spatial transport appears as a (small) source or sink at each *k* (*JFM 241*).
- Saddoughi and Veeravalli (1994) show two decades of -5/3: lower one, $R_{12}(k_1) > 0$: higher one $R_{12}(k_1) \approx 0$ for $R_{\lambda} > 1500$
- How does the requirement of self-similar T(k) fit in?

A self-similar inertial subrange

• Simultaneous collapse

$$\left(\frac{\kappa}{-\overline{uv}^{+}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{(k_{1}y)^{\frac{5}{3}}}{u_{\tau}^{2}} \phi_{11}(k_{1}y) = C = \frac{(k_{1}\eta)^{\frac{5}{3}}}{\upsilon_{\varepsilon}^{2}} \phi_{11}(k_{1}\eta)$$

- \mathcal{E} and η can be estimated from local-equilibrium approximation and the log law: y/R=0.096
- No specific requirement for local isotropy:

Re_D	R_{λ}
55k	105
75k	140
150k	210
230k	270
1.0m	575

Inertial subrange scaling: u_{τ} outer scale



Outer velocity scale – second moment



24

Outer velocity scale – fourth moment



$$(U_{cl} - \overline{U})$$
 scaling

$$\left(\frac{\kappa}{-\overline{uv}^{+}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{(k_{1}y)^{\frac{5}{3}}}{(U_{cl}-\overline{U})^{2}} \phi_{11}(k_{1}y) = \frac{C}{\xi^{2}} = \frac{(k_{1}\eta)^{\frac{5}{3}}}{\xi^{2}\upsilon_{\varepsilon}^{2}} \phi_{11}(k_{1}\eta)$$

$$4.56 \ge \xi = \frac{\left(U_{cl} - \overline{U}\right)}{u_{\tau}} \ge 4.28$$

Inertial subrange scaling: $(U_{cl} - \overline{U})$ outer scale



Conclusions - I

- Statistics in boundary layers at short fetch and high velocity will not be the same as those at long fetch and low velocity.
- $\overline{u^2}^+$ and $\phi_{11}(k_1)$ in pipes and boundary layers at the same R^+ are not the same.
- "fully-developed pipe flow" does not appear to be a universal condition.
- But, self-similarity does lead to universal properties (log law, inertial subrange?), but R⁺=constant does not.

Conclusions - II

- Inner/outer interaction dominates: "top-down" influence increases with increasing R^+ , and decreasing y/R.
- Mesolayer $\sim (R^+)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ determines lower limit to log region.
- $(U_{cl} \overline{U})$ is a better velocity scale for $\operatorname{Re}_D \ge 75 \times 10^3$ The pressure velocity scale $u_p^+ = \left(\frac{R^+}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ is only a second-order correction:

$$- \text{ at } R^+ = 5000, \qquad u_p^+ = 7\%.$$

Conclusions III

- In local-equilibrium region, self-similar inertial subrange appears above $R_{\lambda} \approx 500$
- Departures from self-similarity: retain -5/3 scaling, but relax condition \mathcal{E} = constant (Lumley 1964):

$$\chi = \frac{\varepsilon/k}{\partial T/\partial k} \sim \frac{\left[\varepsilon/k\right]^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\left[kE(k)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

where $T(k) = E(k)^{\frac{3}{2}}k^{\frac{5}{2}}$.

- Then, if $T(k) = \varepsilon$, $\chi = 1$
- Need to look in outer region: larger spatial transport, but R_{λ} larger.